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BIBLIOGRAPHY†

by Michael D. Scott*

INTRODUCTION

References contained herein are to articles and other materials which discuss the issue of software patentability either exclusively, or at least as the main topic of interest. Articles devoted to analyzing other forms of protection for programs—copyright, trade secret, or contract—are generally omitted from this list. Further, only articles in the English language are included.

Each entry has been checked and double-checked to insure accuracy. Obscure references have been located and several unpublished works have been tracked down. Numerous authors were personally contacted to obtain the correct citations to their articles, or to references cited in their articles. Yet, despite all precautions, it is possible that there are errors and omissions in this listing. Because this issue will be supplemented on an annual basis, it is requested that any corrections or additions be sent to Michael D. Scott, Esq., c/o Smaltz & Neelley, 523 West Sixth Street, Suite 440, Los Angeles, California 90014. (If the reference is to a publication not generally available in university libraries, it would be of substantial assistance if a copy of the article, or the table of contents of the book or periodical containing the article, were included.)

ORGANIZATION

The entries in the Bibliography are subdivided into four sections. This was done to enable the user who is looking for specific types of materials, e.g., law review articles, newspaper articles, etc. to more quickly locate those references.

The first grouping contains references to all articles printed in legal publications. The second contains all “Notes” and “Comments” done by law students. The third collects all legal materials which do not fall
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* B.S. 1967, Massachusetts Institute of Technology; J.D. 1974, University of California Los Angeles. Adjunct Associate Professor of Law, Southwestern University School of Law, Los Angeles, California. Editor-in-Chief, Computer/Law Journal.
within the first two categories. The last section contains references to non-legal materials, i.e., articles contained in electronics, computer or business journals, and in newspapers and magazines of general circulation.

LEGAL—ARTICLES


Lawlor, *Benson and Beyond*, in 3 R. Bigelow, *Computer L. Serv.* § 4-2, art. 3.


Spaeth, High Court Seen Likely to Reject Patents, 5 L. & Computer Tech. 50 (1972).

LEGAL—STUDENT WORKS

Comment, Computer Programs are Patentable, 1 Seton Hall L. Rev. 113 (1970).


Comment, Patents: Proposed Guidelines to Examination of Programs, 4 Tulsa L.J. 258 (1967).


Note, Patents—Patentability—A Process Performable on a Analog Computer Which Also Reads Upon a General Purpose Digital Computer Does Not Necessarily Fall Within the “Mental Steps” Exclusion and May Be Patentable If the Specificity Requirements of Section 112 Are Fulfilled, 48 Tex. L. Rev. 971 (1970).


Note, Softwear, Statutes and Stare Decisis, 13 Howard L.J. 420 (1967).

LEGAL—OTHER


Applicant Unwilling to Disclose Computer Program Must Show It's Within Skill of Art, 146 Pat., T.M. & Copyright J. (BNA), at A-3 (Sep. 27, 1973).


Attempts to Obtain Computer Program Patents, 141 U.S.P.Q. Advance Sheets, May 1964, at III & IV.


Method Claims Incidentally Employing Computer Program Are Patentable, 328 Pat., T.M. & Copyright J. (BNA), at A-10 (May 12, 1977).


Program Must be Disclosed for Computer Which is Not Used in “Conventional” Manner, 144 Pat., T.M. & Copyright J. (BNA), at A-15 (Sep. 13, 1973).


Supreme Court Called Upon Again to Determine Fate of Computer Programs, 354 Pat., T.M. & Copyright J. (BNA), at A-1, D-1 (Nov. 17, 1977).


NON-LEGAL


Banzhaf, Legal Protection for Computer Programs, 6 DATA PROCESSING MAGAZINE, July 1964, at 8.


Bigelow, The Patentability of Software, 5 Modern Data, June 1972, at 34.


Girard, *U.S. Supreme Court Rules Bell Labs Program 'Idea'; Therefore Not Patentable*, Electronic News, Nov. 27, 1972, at 1, col. 3.


Lundell, *Supreme Court Rules Against Program Patent*, Computerworld, Nov. 29, 1972, at 1, col. 3.


**MINISTRY INT'L TRADE & INDUS.** *A Registration and Certification Type of System to Protect Computer Programs*, reprinted in 4 R. BIGELOW, COMPUTER L. SERV. § 9-4, art. 3.


*Appeal Court Upsets Ban on Granting Patents for Computer Programs*, BUS. WEEK, Nov. 30, 1968, at 46.


*First Patent is Issued for Software; Full Implications Are Not Yet Known*, Computerworld, June 19, 1968, at 1, col. 1.


*High Court Denies Computer Patent for Programming*, N.Y. Times, Nov. 21, 1972, at 1, col. 5.


Interest Grows in Pursuit of Software Patents and Copyrights, 12 Datamation, June 1966, at 85.
LBJ Unit Nixes Patents on Programs, 14 BUS. AUTOMATION, Feb. 1972, at 72.
Mainframe Makers Hail High Court Rule, Electronic News, Nov. 27, 1972, at 28, col. 4.
The Prater & Wei Rehearing Ended As Many People Showed Concern, Computerworld, Mar. 19, 1969, at 1, col. 4.
Programmers Get the Nod, BUS. WEEK, Aug. 23, 1969, at 34.
Registration System Called Inadequate, Computerworld, Mar. 26, 1969, at 1, col. 1.
Rehearing is Set in Computer Case, N.Y. Times, Jan. 17, 1969, at 43, col. 3.
2nd 'Software' Patent Granted Despite Ban, Computerworld, Feb. 12, 1969, at 1, col. 3.
Software Classification Undertaken As Pilot Project, Computerworld, Nov. 5, 1969, at 2, col. 1.
Software: Overruled, 245 ECONOMIST, Nov. 25, 1972, at 114.
Software Writing Called 5% Inspiration and 95% Perspiration by IBM Attorney, Computerworld, Aug. 6, 1969, at 7, col. 1.
Two Software Groups Unite in Legal Fight for Program Patents, Computerworld, Apr. 12, 1972, at 29, col. 2.


Did you find this issue of interest?

If so, there are several things you can do:

**SUBSCRIBE.** The JOURNAL receives no subsidies from a law school or other organization. Except for a small amount of advertising, the total cost of the JOURNAL must be borne by subscriptions and single issue sales. If you would like to subscribe, please use one of the reply cards at the back of this issue. If the reply cards have been removed, please use the Order Form on the facing page.

**ADVERTISE.** If your company provides goods or services to the computer industry or legal profession, you should consider advertising in the JOURNAL. By advertising in the JOURNAL, not only will you reach those persons and institutions most likely to use your goods or services, you will also be providing valuable financial support to the JOURNAL. Advertising rate information can be obtained by returning the Order Form on the facing page, or by sending an inquiry on your company's letterhead.

**PUBLICIZE.** The JOURNAL depends to a large extent on "word-of-mouth" advertising. If you know of someone who might be interested in the JOURNAL, please tell him or her about the JOURNAL. If you would prefer, simply fill out one of the attached reply cards and the JOURNAL will send information directly to that individual.

**CRITICIZE.** The editors of the JOURNAL invite feedback from readers and users. If you have any comments, criticisms or suggestions, please write directly to Michael D. Scott, Editor-in-chief, Computer/Law Journal, 675 South Westmoreland Avenue, Los Angeles, California 90005.

**CREATE.** The JOURNAL is only as good as the material available to it. The editors are constantly searching for articles, case notes, book reviews, bibliographies and other material of "law review" quality for publication. In each issue, the "CALL FOR PAPERS" page indicates tentative topics for upcoming issues. Any individual planning to write, or having a manuscript, on any of those topics (or any other topic in the computer law field), is urged to contact the editors. Manuscript format and other pertinent information is set forth in "AUTHOR INFORMATION" section at the front of this issue.