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I. INTRODUCTION

The spread of Human Immunodeficiency Virus infection (HIV), as well as the changing epidemiological nature of Acquired Immune-deficiency Disease (AIDS), created a variety of legal issues. This paper is a survey of the issues associated with providing access to health care for people with AIDS. The paper begins with an analysis of the demographic trends among HIV-infected persons and persons with AIDS (PWAs). Next, the paper discusses the issues arising from the impediments PWAs confront in obtaining access to health care, including an analysis of protections afforded by the recently-enacted Americans with Disabilities Act. The paper then examines the issues of access to health care in the context of private insurance coverage and self-insured employers. Finally, the paper analyzes the problem of uninsured persons with HIV and the resultant burden on Medicaid and public financing.

This paper was prepared by the AIDS Coordinating Committee of the American Bar Association (ABA). The Coordinating Committee, formed in 1987, has among its members representatives of various relevant sections of the ABA and of analogous organizations such as the National Bar Association and the New York State Bar Association. The AIDS Coordinating Committee drafted and co-sponsored the wide-ranging ABA Policy on AIDS, which the House of Delegates adopted in August 1989. This ABA Policy on AIDS will be noted throughout this paper.

HIV, the cause of AIDS, attacks the body's immune system and leaves the host susceptible to a variety of cancers and infections. AIDS is the term adopted by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) for the condition that occurs in the later stages of HIV disease when the immune system is the most damaged. It is estimated that HIV-infection develops into full-blown AIDS approximately ten years after infection. Generally, PWAs live approximately two years after full-blown AIDS is diagnosed.

In this country, the CDC is responsible for defining what diseases constitute AIDS. Before 1993, the CDC's definition of AIDS

2. Smith & Friedland, supra note 1, at 35.
3. Id.
4. See id. at 37 (discussing the increasing life expectancy of persons with full blown AIDS).
Included anyone who had one of twenty diseases. However, the CDC revised and expanded the definition in 1993 after criticism that it was based on the medical condition of homosexual men and did not include the experience of women, drug users, and poor people. The expanded AIDS definition includes any HIV-infected person with severe immunosuppression, pulmonary tuberculosis, recurrent pneumonia, or invasive cervical cancer. The CDC intended for the revised definition to aid in more accurate current and future health-care evaluations by including diseases afflicting persons of all classes.

By the end of 1992, more than 170,000 Americans had died of AIDS. In the early 1980s, six cities reported over three-fourths of all reported AIDS cases, but by 1991 thirty-one metropolitan areas and twenty-five states each reported over one thousand AIDS cases. In the early years of the epidemic in the United States, homosexual men comprised almost sixty percent of reported AIDS cases, with intravenous drug users making up the second largest group. However, drug users and their partners, have become the significant demographic groups affected by AIDS in the 1990s. Although no population group is insusceptible to AIDS and HIV, poor people with no health insurance coverage are more likely to contract AIDS than insured, economically-stable persons; African-Americans and Hispanics are also disproportionately represented. The disease is similarly becoming increasingly associated

5. Id. at 35-36. The CDC included Kaposi's Sarcoma, pneumocystis carinii pneumonia, and tosoplasmosis of the brain, among other serious diseases, in its definition. 


7. Castro, supra note 1, at 4. Severe immunosuppression is defined as a CD4+ lymphocyte count of less than 200/mm(3) or a CD4+ percent of total lymphocytes count of less than 200/mm(3) or a CD4+ percent of total lymphocytes less than fourteen when it is not possible to get an absolute count. 

8. Id. at 5-6, 8, 9.


11. HEALTH CARE REFORM, supra note 6, at 47. Blood transfusions were also responsible for many cases during the early 1980s. Id. at 48.

12. Id.

13. Id. at 49.

with the inner city and the impoverished.\textsuperscript{15} Even these figures are deceiving; the CDC estimates that reported AIDS cases consist of only ten to fifteen percent of the actual number of HIV-infected persons\textsuperscript{16} and do not include those individuals who have died of HIV-related conditions which do not meet the CDC definition of AIDS.

II. THE CHANGING NATURE OF COMMUNITIES AT RISK

The demographics of the AIDS epidemic have undergone substantial changes in recent years.\textsuperscript{17} What was once considered a disease primarily affecting homosexual males\textsuperscript{18} has now become an epidemic among the heterosexual population particularly impacting women and people of color who reside in impoverished, urban areas.\textsuperscript{19} Rather than being evenly distributed throughout the population, the AIDS epidemic has generally manifested itself in distinct population groups, comprised of individuals lacking access to health care and other resources.\textsuperscript{20} As these specific populations become disproportionately affected by AIDS and HIV-infection, epidemiologists have observed a gradual decline in AIDS among male homosexuals.\textsuperscript{21}

The shift in demographics of the AIDS/HIV epidemic partially resulted from the initiation of AIDS educational programs within the homosexual community in the 1980s. Alternatively, minimal programs existed in the localities and population groups currently experiencing a dramatic upsurge in AIDS cases.\textsuperscript{22} Therefore, to counter the shifting demographics of PWAs, the United States health care reform package should contain educational and treatment programs designed specifically for the concentrated populations heavily affected by AIDS and HIV.\textsuperscript{23}

\begin{itemize}
  \item \textsuperscript{15} See 1991 NAT'L COMM'N REP., supra note 10, at 13-14.
  \item \textsuperscript{16} Id. at 12-13 ("[The CDC estimates that, at present, approximately one adult male in 100 in the United States is HIV positive and one adult female in 600 is similarly infected.").
  \item \textsuperscript{17} See HEALTH CARE REFORM, supra note 6, at 48.
  \item \textsuperscript{18} Id.
  \item \textsuperscript{20} Id. at 7-9.
  \item \textsuperscript{21} See HEALTH CARE REFORM, supra note 6 at 48.
  \item \textsuperscript{22} See Nan D. Hunter, Complications of Gender: Women and HIV Disease, in AIDS AGENDA: EMERGING ISSUES IN CIVIL RIGHTS 7-9 (Nan D. Hunter & William B. Rubenstein eds., 1992).
  \item \textsuperscript{23} See American Bar Assoc., AMERICAN BAR ASSOCIATION POLICY AND REPORT ON AIDS, 21 U. TOL. L. REV. 9, § O.1, at 18 (1989), [hereinafter ABA Pol'y and Rep. on AIDS] "Accurate, effective education of the public regarding HIV, consistent with generally accepted public health recommendations, should be supported by public and private entities as essential to any informed response to legal issues arising from the HIV epidemic." Id. "Public and private entities should expeditiously develop and implement HIV-related programs targeted to serve minority communities." Id. § D.4, at 12.
\end{itemize}
A. The Disproportionate Impact of AIDS on the Disenfranchised

Persons of low socio-economic status residing in urban areas comprise the population group experiencing the most rapid increase in reported HIV/AIDS cases. The results of a case study on the shifting demographics of HIV and AIDS in New York City illustrate the recent population changes experienced in metropolitan areas throughout the country. The study confirmed that the number of HIV-positive individuals and PWAs in impoverished, urban areas far exceeded the number of such persons in more affluent suburban areas. The 1992 study revealed that ninety-five percent of New York City's PWAs resided in the inner-city boroughs, while the remaining five percent inhabited the four surrounding suburban counties.

In March of 1992, the CDC reported that New York City had experienced 37,952 AIDS cases, as compared with 26,336 cases in December of 1989. The study concluded that the disproportionate number of HIV/AIDS cases in low income, urban areas resulted from the existence of certain kinds of "social interactions" in these communities, such as intravenous drug use, high-risk sexual activity, joblessness, homelessness, limited access to health care, and overcrowding. Educational and treatment programs could arguably lessen HIV transmission in low income urban communities. In fact, the ABA has recommended the development of distinct programs designed to confront the problem of widespread HIV transmission among drug users.

24. See Panel on Monitoring the Social Impact of the AIDS Epidemic, supra note 19, at 7-8, 243-44.
25. See id. at 243-44, 247. The Panel indicated its belief that the changing demographics observed in the New York study are representative of that in other urban communities. Id. at 243-44. The Panel concluded that "the New York City study, as it stands, offers a vivid portrait of the epidemic in a particular place and illustrates with particular force the principal conclusions of [the Panel]: namely, the epidemic is not spreading uniformly throughout the population but is highly localized, and the epidemic is now progressing in such a way that a convergence of social ills creates a nidus in which it can flourish." Id. However, the Panel acknowledged that the conclusions reached in the New York City study cannot be generalized to the United States as a whole. Id. at 296. Similar patterns will likely occur elsewhere, but studies in other locales are needed. Id. at 243, 245, 296.
26. Id. at 245.
27. Id. at 245-46. However, the Panel characterized this figure as an "underestimation of the numbers of actual AIDS cases," due to reporting problems, such as "lags" and "undercounting." Id. at 246.
28. Panel on Monitoring the Social Impact of the AIDS Epidemic, supra note 19, at 244.
29. See ABA Pol'y and Rep. on AIDS, supra note 23, § 0.1, at 18.
30. ABA Pol'y and Rep. on AIDS, supra note 23, § M.1, at 17-18. "States and localities should address the HIV epidemic among drug abusers and their partners as a significant public health problem and should support appropriate public health education and medical interventions." Id.
Low-income persons with HIV or AIDS encounter great difficulty in obtaining access to health care. Such persons either are impoverished prior to the onset of their HIV-infection or become poverty-stricken as a result of financing their own treatment and owe. Moreover, once an individual with HIV disease enters the phase of “full-blown” AIDS, he or she generally becomes unable to remain gainfully employed. Lack of employment may cause the individual to become impoverished, entirely uninsured, and reliant on Medicaid. However, the access to health care for PWAs on Medicaid remains limited because the current Medicaid system provides only minimal coverage for PWAs.

In addition to the treatment barriers, many low income PWAs are not even diagnosed as HIV-positive until the disease has progressed to such an acute stage that death is imminent. Their limited economic means prevent them from developing an ongoing relationship with a physician; many receive little, if any, preventive care. Often, their only encounter with a medical provider is in the emergency room of a public hospital.

Even when impoverished persons receive treatment at public hospitals, they are unlikely to receive an HIV test due to the costs associated with such tests. In 1988, the average cost of treating an AIDS in-patient was $630 per day, yet the average hospital receives only $500 per day in Medicaid reimbursements.

Low income PWAs on Medicaid confront yet another significant obstacle to medical treatment. Under the combined federal and state Medicaid program, only ten states permit Medicaid reimbursement for alternative care treatments, such as out-patient care, hospice care, and community-based health care. Even though alternative forms of care cost less and provide more efficient

---

32. Id. at 181, 185, 187. To become eligible for Medicaid, a person must meet a threshold poverty level and must be deemed “disabled” under the Social Security Administration’s definition. Id. at 183-84. A person with CDC-defined AIDS is considered presumptively disabled, and therefore entitled to Medicaid, subject to later consideration. See infra Part V.B (delineating the general requirements for Medicaid coverage).
34. Id. at 185.
35. See HEALTH CARE REFORM, supra note 6, at 10.
36. See id.
37. Shacknai, supra note 31, at 190 (citing REPORT OF THE PRESIDENTIAL COMMISSION ON IMMUNODEFICIENCY VIRUS EPIDEMIC 143 (1988)). In some Southern states, the hospital reimbursement figure is only $282. Id. Medicaid provides only $8.00 in reimbursement for each outpatient treatment provided by New York City hospitals, although the treatment costs the hospitals approximately $80.00 per visit. Id. (citing Proceedings of New York City Mayor’s Conference on AIDS (Feb. 1990)).
38. Id.
treatment, the remainder of the state's Medicaid programs only cover in-patient care.\textsuperscript{39} Further expansion of alternative care treatments has not occurred because of the complicated and time-consuming federal waiver which each state must receive before implementing a new Medicaid procedure.\textsuperscript{40} Therefore, in order to effectively treat low-income PWAs, state governments should permit Medicaid reimbursements for less costly alternative treatments.\textsuperscript{41}

\textbf{B. Disenfranchised Women: The Newest At-Risk Population}

During the 1980's men comprised approximately nine out of ten PWAs.\textsuperscript{42} However, women presently represent the group most at risk of acquiring HIV.\textsuperscript{43} According to the National Institute of Health's 1992 report, women, who comprise 51.3 percent of the total population, accounted for 11.4 percent of newly reported AIDS cases.\textsuperscript{44} In 1993, women comprised nearly 26,000 of the nation's approximately 240,000 AIDS cases.\textsuperscript{45} Most women with HIV contracted the infection through intravenous drug use or through sexual contact with an HIV-positive man who contracted HIV through intravenous drug use.\textsuperscript{46} During heterosexual contact in which one party is HIV-positive, women are twenty times more likely to contract HIV from men than men are likely to contract HIV from women.\textsuperscript{47} Epidemiologists estimate that 75,000 women will be diagnosed with AIDS by 1995,\textsuperscript{48} and that by 1994 in New York State, more women than homosexual men will be diagnosed with AIDS.\textsuperscript{49} Worldwide, experts predict that by the year 2000 the number of women with AIDS will equal the number of men with AIDS.\textsuperscript{50}

However, medical providers generally do not consider HIV-infection in women as a likely possibility and, thus, often fail to diag-

\textsuperscript{39} See id.
\textsuperscript{41} See ABA Pol'y and Rep. on AIDS, supra note 23, § D.3, at 12. The ABA adopted the following policy concerning alternative forms of treatment for Medicaid recipients: “Government programs that cover HIV-related health care should incorporate flexible mechanisms for payment, including expediting the Medicaid waiver review process, to allow more treatment alternatives for HIV.” Id.
\textsuperscript{42} \textit{Health Care Reform}, supra note 6, at 48.
\textsuperscript{44} \textit{Health Care Reform}, supra note 6, at 48.
\textsuperscript{45} Id.
\textsuperscript{46} See id.; Zavos, supra note 6, at 125.
\textsuperscript{47} \textit{Health Care Reform}, supra note 6, at 48.
\textsuperscript{48} Id.
\textsuperscript{50} Id. (citing Daniel Pearl, \textit{AIDS Spreads More Rapidly Among Women}, WALL ST. J., Nov. 30, 1990, at B1).
nose women with HIV or AIDS until their illness has progressed to an acute stage.\textsuperscript{51} Studies show that physicians often misdiagnose their women patients' gynecological symptoms as mere isolated conditions, even though gynecological infections may be the most frequent initial symptom of HIV in women.\textsuperscript{52} Another reason for physicians' failure to diagnose women as HIV-infected is that a severe deficit in medical research exists regarding women and HIV/AIDS.\textsuperscript{53} As a result some medical practitioners may lack the requisite medical knowledge to recognize the symptoms associated with these diseases.\textsuperscript{54}

Even where physicians correctly diagnose gynecological symptoms as initial manifestations of HIV, women confront the additional impediment that the CDC's most recent AIDS definition still excludes many gynecological manifestations.\textsuperscript{55} Thus, low income women who experience gynecological conditions which are indicative of AIDS are ineligible for Supplemental Security Income (SSI); that ineligibility, in turn, normally prohibits them from receiving Medicaid.\textsuperscript{56}

Despite the absence of gynecological symptoms from the AIDS definition, the CDC revised its definition in 1993 to include a new indicator that broadens the official designation to include persons

\textsuperscript{51} Hunter, supra note 22, at 10. "[Misdiagnosis of women] has occurred because the perception that HIV is a male disease has been widespread among health care providers . . . ." Id. "Delay in an accurate diagnosis leads to delay in treatment and missed opportunities for medical intervention early enough in the course of the disease to significantly prolong life." Id.

\textsuperscript{52} Id. at 10 (citing Safrin & Dattel, et al., Seroprevalence and Epidemiologic Correlates of Human Immunodeficiency Virus Infection in Women with Acute Pelvic Inflammatory Disease, 75 OBSTETRICS & GYNECOLOGY 666 (1990)).

\textsuperscript{53} Id. at 11.

\textsuperscript{54} Id. at 9-12. Women's groups advocate for increased testing of women with HIV; see Zavos, supra note 6, at 125. In 1992, Congresswoman Morella (D-Md.) introduced two bills, "Women and AIDS Research Initiative" (H.R. 1073) and "Women and AIDS Outreach and Prevention Act" (H.R. 1072), to authorize additional spending for research regarding women and AIDS and specifically earmark federal funds for educational programs for women, respectively. Id. Although both bills were referred to committee, neither became law. To date, no member of the 103rd Congress has reintroduced either bill. Telephone Interview with Legislative Dep't, United States House of Representatives (June 7, 1993).

\textsuperscript{55} Hunter, supra note 22, at 10. Gynecological illnesses, such as chronic pelvic internal organ or body cavity abscesses, chronic genital ulcers and recurrent herpes have been excluded from CDC's enumeration of symptoms that warrant an official AIDS diagnosis. See Zavos, Women with HIV, supra note 6, at 7; supra Part I for discussion of CDC defined AIDS.

\textsuperscript{56} Hunter, supra note 22, at 10-11. Ultimately, a women's ineligibility for SSI or Medicaid results in a denial of meaningful health care. See id. at 9, 11; supra Part V (discussing Medicaid coverage generally). However, the CDC has cautioned organizations and agencies against using the CDC classification and definition system as a basis for providing benefits or entitlements. Castro, supra note 1, at 8.
with T-cell counts below 200 per cubic millimeters of blood. This new indicator may help alleviate the difficulty HIV-infected women with gynecological conditions confronted in the past because many of these women have T-cell counts below 200, which would bring them within the revised AIDS definition.

In addition to the problems associated with diagnosis delays, some researchers attribute the growing population of women with AIDS/HIV to the fact that a disproportionate number of such women are people of color and reside in impoverished urban areas that lack access to health care. In 1990, African-American women comprised 52.1% of women with AIDS in New York, and 29.8% of AIDS cases in that State were Hispanic women.

Another important explanation for the growing population of women with AIDS stems from the lack of state, local and private educational programs geared toward women at risk of contracting HIV. Most educational programs and media portrayals focus almost exclusively on the risks to male homosexuals, without informing at-risk populations of the widespread phenomenon of females with HIV-infection and AIDS. Since most women with AIDS are low-income, single-parent mothers, the adverse impact that their inevitable incapacitation and death has on the lives of the orphaned children they leave behind presents serious challenges to the social infrastructure of the United States. Low income persons are unlikely to possess a tes-

---

57. Zavos, supra note 6, at 125. The CDC proposed this change in August of 1991, but it did not become effective until January 1, 1993. Id. at 5.

58. See Hunter, supra note 22, at 11. However, the new indicator does not entirely resolve this problem because a woman will only obtain a T-cell reading of 200 or below if her physician construes her gynecological symptoms as possible indicators of HIV-infection, and recommends that she submit to an HIV test, a course of conduct which medical providers often overlook. See id. (citations omitted).

In addition, women who lack access to health care will not receive a CDC defined AIDS diagnosis. See id. at 12.


60. Id. Some recent studies attribute the rise in AIDS cases among women to the fact that more women are addicted to crack cocaine than men. See PANEL ON MONITORING THE SOCIAL IMPACT OF THE AIDS EPIDEMIC, supra note 19, at 271 (noting that impoverished women addicts have been known to contract HIV while engaging in risky sexual behaviors in exchange for the illicit drug).

61. See Hunter, supra note 22, at 6-7 (dicussing the lack of HIV educational programs for women).

62. See id.; see ABA POLICY AND REP. ON AIDS, supra note 23, § 0.1, at 18. The lack of educational programs geared to women at risk of contracting HIV indicates the necessity for public and private entities to adhere to the American Bar Association's Policy of ensuring that “[a]ccurate, effective education of the public regarding HIV, consistent with generally accepted public health recommendations . . . be supported by public and private entities as essential to any informed response to legal issues arising from the HIV epidemic.” Id.

63. See Elizabeth B. Cooper, HIV-INFECTED PARENTS AND THE LAW: ISSUES OF CUSTODY, VISITATION AND GUARDIANSHIP, in AIDS AGENDA: EMERGING ISSUES IN
tamentary instrument specifying a guardian for their children upon their death; therefore the state must step in and assume responsibility for the minor children, either by placing the children with foster parents or finding an adoptive parent for the child. Parentless children not only pose challenges to government entities charged with caring for them, but the orphaned children obviously endure emotional distress as a consequence of their permanent separation from their parent. Furthermore, although the foster care system provides children with shelter and financial support, it is an imperfect system, shown to have deleterious effects on some participants.

The foregoing problems are exacerbated by the fact that most of these children will be perpetually impoverished. To provide a caregiver for orphaned children upon their parents’ death or incapacitation, some experts suggest the institution of legal methods, such as a “springing guardianship,” that would take effect whenever the parent’s disease rendered him/her unable to care for the child, but would then reinstate custody to the natural parent if the parent’s illness entered remission. Under the “springing guardianship” system, ultimate custody would be placed in the guardian upon the parent’s death. Thus, given the important issues confronting children with AIDS and HIV, the health care reform package should contain social programs designed to alleviate the stresses confronted by children with AIDS/HIV-infected parents.

C. The Disproportionate Impact of AIDS on People of Color

The AIDS epidemic has disproportionately affected people of color. Although African-Americans comprise only twelve percent of the United States population, they account for thirty percent of the nation’s AIDS cases. Furthermore, Hispanics comprise seventeen percent of PWAs, although they account for only nine percent of the population. In 1989, the “age-adjusted HIV-related death
rate" among African-American men was three times that of Caucasian males.\textsuperscript{72} Persons of color, who reside in poverty and lack access to health care, account for most of the AIDS cases in this population group.\textsuperscript{73}

Studies attribute the prevalence of the AIDS epidemic in poor, minority communities to the lack of access to health care in such communities as well as to behavior and situational patterns (i.e., drug abuse, homelessness, joblessness, overcrowding) that are conducive to the transmission of the HIV-infection and other ailments.\textsuperscript{74} Thus, the health care reform package should provide these minority communities with increased access to health care and educational programs geared to their special needs.\textsuperscript{75}

D. Tuberculosis and HIV Among the Impoverished: A Lethal Combination

The growing population of impoverished persons with Tuberculosis (TB) presents novel challenges to health care providers. Although the overall number of reported TB cases in the United States has remained constant in recent years, the rate of such cases in low income, impoverished communities has reached epidemic proportions.\textsuperscript{76} For instance, in 1982 in New York City, 22 persons per every 100,000 were TB infected, while in 1991, that number had grown to 50 persons per every 100,000.\textsuperscript{77}

Persons most susceptible to TB disease live in low-income, urban and overcrowded areas, in which widespread HIV-infection and other ailments exist.\textsuperscript{78} Within this population group, HIV-infected persons are the most likely to contract TB because HIV severely weakens their immune systems, thereby making them unable to extinguish the TB infection.\textsuperscript{79} Unlike HIV, which can be transmitted

\textsuperscript{72} Id.

\textsuperscript{73} Id. at 8. "[L]ow income and poor health are strongly linked . . . . The association of poverty, underdeveloped community structures, and disease is well demonstrated by the impact of the HIV epidemic in communities of color." \textit{Id.; see Health Care Reform, supra note 6, at 49.}

\textsuperscript{74} \textit{See Nat'l Comm'n on AIDS, supra note 43, at 8-14; Health Care Reform, supra note 6, at 49.}

\textsuperscript{75} \textit{ABA Pol'y and Rep. on AIDS, supra note 23, § D.4, at 12. The ABA policy directs that "[p]ublic and private entities should expeditiously develop and implement HIV-related programs targeted to serve minority communities." Id.}

\textsuperscript{76} \textit{United Hosp. Fund of N.Y., the Tuberculosis Revival: Individual Rights and Societal Obligations in a Time of AIDS 8-9 (1992).}

\textsuperscript{77} Id. at 10.

\textsuperscript{78} Id. at 8-12.

\textsuperscript{79} Id. at 10. The TB infection, if not inactivated or encapsulated by one's immune system, develops into TB disease. \textit{Id. at 9; see also Edward P. Richards \& Katharine C. Rathbun, Tuberculosis: An Introduction for Health Care Attorneys, 6 Health Law. 11 (1993) (describing that tuberculosis is "inextricably linked to HIV infection").}
only via the exchange of bodily fluids, TB can be readily transmitted by casual contact. TB is most commonly transmitted when a person with TB-diseased emits, by the act of coughing, "airborne droplets" that come in contact with another.\textsuperscript{80} Persons possessing intact immune systems, however, are generally able to inactivate the TB organism. Persons with damaged immune systems, however, normally cannot terminate the infection. Thus, after an incubation period, they develop full-fledged TB disease.\textsuperscript{81} Generally, the appropriate drug regimen effectively cures a person with drug sensitive TB.\textsuperscript{82}

The most alarming issue surrounding the increasing incidence of TB concerns the emergence of a lethal strain of the disease, designated multi-drug resistant TB.\textsuperscript{83} Multi-drug resistant TB occurs in two circumstances. First, TB may become drug-resistant when a person infected with drug sensitive TB fails to remain on drug therapy for the specified time period required for a cure.\textsuperscript{84} When TB becomes drug-resistant, the disease often recurs and subsequently becomes resistive to drug treatment.\textsuperscript{85} Some reports suggest that impoverished persons are more likely to terminate their drug treatment before they are fully cured, thereby causing them to develop multi-drug resistant TB.\textsuperscript{86} Second, multi-drug resistant TB develops in situations where a person with an impaired immune system acquires the drug-repellent strain of TB through exposure to a person with this hazardous form of TB.\textsuperscript{87}

Hospitals themselves may spread, rather than cure, TB. A 1991 study of New York City's multi-drug resistant TB cases revealed that eighty-two percent of persons with multi-drug resistant TB contracted the disease in public hospitals.\textsuperscript{88} Furthermore, the study reported that approximately eighty-five percent of those per-

\textsuperscript{80} See United Hosp. Fund of N.Y., supra note 76, at 9.
\textsuperscript{81} Id.
\textsuperscript{82} Id. at 11-12.
\textsuperscript{83} See Richards & Rathbun, supra note 79, at 13; Nat'l Leadership Coalition on AIDS, Managing Tuberculosis and HIV Infection in Today's General Workplace 52 (1992); see also United Hosp. Fund, supra note 76, at 11-12.
\textsuperscript{84} United Hosp. Fund of N.Y., supra note 76, at 11; Nat'l Leadership Coalition on AIDS, supra note 83, at 1.
\textsuperscript{85} United Hosp. Fund of N.Y., supra note 76, at 11-12.
\textsuperscript{86} See id. at 6. According to the Centers for Disease Control, 44 percent of persons in New York City who received prior treatment for TB later developed a form of drug-resistant TB, whereby they became insensitive to one or more drug treatments. Id. at 12. "Poor patient compliance, . . . is the consequence of an inadequate medical and social infrastructure in New York City." Id. (other citations omitted).
\textsuperscript{87} Id.
\textsuperscript{88} Id. at 12. (citing Centers for Disease Control, Nosocomial Transmission of Multi-Drug Resistant Tuberculosis Among HIV-Infected Persons—Florida and New York, 1988-1991, 266 JAMA, 1483-85 (1991)).
sons with multi-drug resistant TB were HIV-positive.\textsuperscript{89} Because drug resistant TB is highly contagious, some facilities deny admission to TB infected persons in order to prevent transmission of the disease to others.\textsuperscript{90}

The serious health risks that result from multi-drug resistant TB justify the creation of medical programs which address this specific problem. The United Hospital Fund of New York advocates the creation of programs designed to ensure that persons with drug-sensitive TB comply with their prescribed drug therapy to prevent the development of drug-resistant TB.\textsuperscript{91} Other experts advocate the development and spread of a five-pronged tuberculosis control program to minimize the rapid influx of the disease among at-risk population groups in the United States.\textsuperscript{92}

III. WITHHOLDING MEDICAL CARE AND CONFIDENTIALITY BREACHES: IMPEDIMENTS TO TREATMENT AND EARLY DETECTION

A. The Treatment Impediment

In addition to the difficulty PWAs face in obtaining financial assistance for medical services,\textsuperscript{93} other impediments also hinder their access to health care. PWAs are often denied treatment by health care providers.\textsuperscript{94} Some health care providers refuse to treat HIV-infected individuals due to their concerns about contracting HIV, even though the risk of contracting the disease from providing

\textsuperscript{89} Id.

\textsuperscript{90} See, e.g., Mixon v. Grinker, 595 N.Y.S.2d 876, 879 (N.Y. Sup. Ct. 1993) (discussing in part homeless shelter's practice of denying admission to TB infected persons so as to prevent contagion among other residents). Upon denying admission to TB infected persons, the shelter referred such persons to an appropriate hospital. Id.

\textsuperscript{91} UNITED HOSP. FUND OF N.Y., supra note 76, at 21, 23.

\textsuperscript{92} Richards & Rathbun, supra note 79, at 14. The authors enumerate the five components of an effective TB control program:

(1) Diagnosis of disease in infected individuals;

(2) Reporting of infected individuals to the health authorities;

(3) Tracing of contacts of infected individuals to identify other infected persons;

(4) Treatment of infected persons; and

(5) Isolation of infected persons who have active disease until they are no longer infectious. Id.

\textsuperscript{93} See infra Parts IV and V discussing the problems persons with HIV/AIDS face in securing insurance.

health care to infected individuals is minimal.\textsuperscript{95} Studies indicate that persons of color with HIV confront the greatest challenge in obtaining medical treatment.\textsuperscript{96} As the number of reported AIDS cases continues to increase annually, obtaining health care for HIV-infected individuals and PWAs has also become increasingly difficult.\textsuperscript{97}

According to a 1991 study, almost one-third of practicing physicians in the United States believe that they should not be required to treat patients with HIV or AIDS.\textsuperscript{98} Additionally, fifty percent of the medical practitioners who participated in the study indicated that, if permitted, they would decline to provide medical care to infected patients.\textsuperscript{99} Twenty-two percent of the 560 hospitals surveyed in a 1990 study reported at least one instance in which a medical provider had denied treatment to a person with AIDS.\textsuperscript{100} Moreover, other studies indicate that, upon disclosure that a patient has AIDS or HIV-infection, some physicians refer such patients to other medical providers.\textsuperscript{101}

B. Statutory Prohibitions Against the Denial of Treatment to HIV-Infected Individuals

Two federal statutes, section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973,\textsuperscript{102} and the recent Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA),\textsuperscript{103} as well as many comparable state statutes, generally protect persons with AIDS and HIV from being denied access to health care.

\textsuperscript{95} HEALTh CARE REFORM, supra note 6, at 143-44. "In reality, the provision of health care to a patient infected with HIV presents only a minimal risk of HIV transmission to the provider. Careful adherence to CDC's universal precautions protocol for infection control virtually eliminates this small risk." \textit{Id.}

\textsuperscript{96} HEALTh CARE REFORM, supra note 6, at 141.

\textsuperscript{97} \textit{Id.; see PANEL ON MONITORING THE SOCIAL IMPACT OF THE AIDS EPIDEMIC, supra note 19, at 12-13. The Panel expressed its concern that, in the wake of the AIDS epidemic, young doctors might become reluctant to specialize in internal medicine, and may elect to avoid practicing medicine in areas inhabited by large numbers of HIV-infected persons. Id. at 12. The Panel acknowledged that a shortage of nurses exists in public hospitals, but remains uncertain as to whether the deficiency is the result of the increasing numbers of AIDS cases in the United States. Id.}

\textsuperscript{98} HEALTh CARE REFORM, supra note 6, at 142 (citing Gerbert et al., \textit{Primary Care Physicians and AIDS: Attitudinal and Structural Barriers to Care}, 266 JAMA 2837 (1991)).

\textsuperscript{99} \textit{Id.}

\textsuperscript{100} \textit{Id.}

\textsuperscript{101} \textit{Id.}


Guidelines promulgated by the American Medical Association, the American Bar Association, and the National Commission on Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndrome state that physicians may not ethically withhold treatment from individuals based solely on their HIV status.\textsuperscript{104}

Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act provides in pertinent part: "No otherwise qualified individual with a disability in the United States . . . shall, solely by reason of her or his disability, be excluded from the participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination under any program or activity receiving Federal financial assistance . . .."\textsuperscript{105} The statute defines persons with "disabilities" as individuals whose "physical or mental impairment substantially limits one or more major life activities"; persons with a prior record of such impairment; and individuals who lack an impairment, yet whom others perceive as disabled.\textsuperscript{106}

The statute defines an "otherwise qualified" individual as any individual who possesses the essential qualifications for the program even if "reasonable accommodation" is necessary, and does not present a "direct threat" to others.\textsuperscript{107} If it is determined that a person poses a "direct threat" to others, the Act imposes the additional requirement that, prior to excluding such person, the program must determine whether it can make reasonable accommodations to minimize the potential risks.\textsuperscript{108}

\textsuperscript{104} PANEL ON MONITORING THE SOCIAL IMPACT OF THE AIDS EPIDEMIC, \textit{supra} note 19, at 73 n.9 (citations omitted). In 1987, the American Medical Association adopted a policy in direct response to the AIDS epidemic which stated in pertinent part:

\begin{quote}
A physician may not ethically refuse to treat a patient whose condition is within the physician's current realm of competence solely because the patient is seropositive . . . . Neither those who have the disease [AIDS] nor those who have been infected with the virus should be subjected to discrimination based on fear or prejudice least of all by members of the health care community.
\end{quote}

\textit{Id.} (quoting 1987 \textit{JAMA} 1360 (1987)); see also HEALTH CARE REFORM, \textit{supra} note 6 at 143; ABA Pol'y & Rep. on AIDS, \textit{supra} note 23, § D.1, at 12. "Health Care providers should not refuse to treat or limit treatment of an individual, because of the individual's actual or perceived HIV status." \textit{Id.} "Public and private entities should take appropriate steps to ensure that people in minority communities receive equal access to HIV-related treatment, prevention and research programs." \textit{Id.} § 1.2, at 15. "The [National] Commission [on Acquired Immune Deficiency Syndrome] believes that health care practitioners have an ethical responsibility to provide care to those with HIV disease." 1991 \textit{NAT'L COMM'N REP.}, \textit{supra} note 10, at 50.


\textsuperscript{106} \textit{Id.} § 706(8)(B).

\textsuperscript{107} \textit{Id.}

\textsuperscript{108} For example, in the employment context 45 C.F.R. § 84.3(k)(1)(1992) defines a "qualified handicapped person" . . . [as] a handicapped person who, with reasonable accommodation, can perform the essential functions of the job in question."
Although neither the Rehabilitation Act nor the ADA explicitly covers persons with contagious diseases, the Supreme Court has interpreted the definition of “disabilities” to include such individuals.\(^{109}\) In the influential \textit{Nassau County v. Arline} decision,\(^{110}\) that would later serve as the framework for the tests set forth in the ADA, the Court held that an elementary school teacher with a prior record of a contagious disease, tuberculosis, qualified as “handicapped” under section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act.\(^{111}\) The Court explained that an infectious disease substantially limits one or more major life activities of the infected individual, thereby constituting a handicap within the meaning of the Act.\(^{112}\) Furthermore, the \textit{Arline} Court articulated a four-pronged test for determining whether a person with disabilities is “otherwise qualified.”\(^{113}\)

Drawing from the principles enunciated in \textit{Arline}, courts have held that section 504 covers HIV-infected individuals.\(^{114}\) In \textit{Glanz v. Vernick},\(^{115}\) an HIV-positive patient brought suit against a doctor and a federally funded clinic, alleging that their refusal to provide him with ear surgery violated section 504.\(^{116}\) After holding that section 504 applies to HIV-infected individuals,\(^{117}\) the court remanded the matter to determine whether, under the \textit{Arline} test, the plaintiff was “otherwise qualified” for surgery.\(^{118}\)

\begin{itemize}
  \item[\(109\)] See infra note 124 and accompanying text for a discussion of the classification of PWAs as disabled.
  \item[\(110\)] 480 U.S. 273 (1987).
  \item[\(111\)] \textit{Id.} at 280-81.
  \item[\(112\)] \textit{Id.}
  \item[\(113\)] \textit{Id.} at 288. The four-part test comprises the following:
    \begin{itemize}
      \item[(a)] the nature of the risk (how the disease is transmitted),
      \item[(b)] the duration of the risk (how long is the carrier infectious),
      \item[(c)] the severity of the risk (what is the potential harm to third parties), and
      \item[(d)] the probabilities the disease will be transmitted and will cause varying degrees of harm.
    \end{itemize}
    \textit{Id.} (quoting Brief for Am. Med. Ass'n at 19).
  \item[\(114\)] See, e.g., Doe v. Centinela Hosp., No. CIV.A.87-2514 PAR, 1988 WL 81776 (D. Cal. June 30, 1988) (holding that § 504's coverage extends to an HIV-infected individual who was denied admission to federally-funded rehabilitation program). The court remanded the matter to determine whether the plaintiff was “otherwise qualified” and whether the defendant-hospital’s alternative program qualified as a “reasonable accommodation.” \textit{Id.} at 2044. The Justice Department issued an advisory opinion in which it stipulated that § 504 covers HIV-infected persons. See Parmet, \textit{supra} note 94, at 87 (citations omitted).
  \item[\(116\)] \textit{Id.} at 634.
  \item[\(117\)] \textit{Id.} at 635.
  \item[\(118\)] \textit{Id.} at 638-39. In assessing the plaintiff's qualifications for surgery, the court determined that the \textit{Arline} standard permits an inquiry into the potential risks posed to both the patient and health care providers who treat HIV infected individuals. \textit{Id.} at 638.
Although section 504 has afforded HIV-infected individuals protections from treatment exclusions, it provides only limited coverage. Section 504 covers only entities of the federal government, groups contracting with the federal government and recipients of federal funds. It applies to all public hospitals, as well as to private hospitals that receive Medicare and/or Medicaid payments. However, private health care facilities, such as private medical and dental practices operating without federal funds, are not covered by section 504.

In response to the limited coverage provided to persons with disabilities in the Rehabilitation Act, all fifty states and the District of Columbia have promulgated legislation, modeled after section 504, to grant more comprehensive protections. Moreover, two-thirds of the states have mandated, either in statutory language, judicial or administrative decisions or policies, that their statutes apply to persons with HIV-infection and AIDS. Courts have enforced such statutes against health care providers who withhold treatment to PWAs or HIV-infected persons. However, state statutes differ markedly in their content and application. Thus, prior to the passage of the Americans with Disabilities Act, no uniform legislation existed to provide comprehensive protections to persons with disabilities in both the public and private sectors.

To afford increased protections for persons with disabilities and to remedy the coverage gaps of section 504, Congress passed the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) in 1990, which became effective on December 26, 1991. The ADA consists of four titles which prohibit discrimination against persons with disabilities in the areas of employment, public services, public accommodations, and public transportation. Title III of the ADA, the public accommodations title, mandates that "[n]o individual shall be discriminated against on the basis of disability in the full and equal enjoyment of the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommo-

120. Parmet, supra note 94, at 88.
121. Id.
123. See Zavos, supra note 122 (noting the applicability of these statutes to PWAs).
125. See id.; Bowleg, supra note 122.
In addition to satisfying the public accommodation requirement, entities must "affect commerce," under the extremely broad definition set forth in the statute, to be covered by the ADA's anti-discrimination prohibitions. Embracing the principles in Section 504, the ADA's protections extend only to persons with disabilities who do not present a "direct threat" to others. The ADA defines a "direct threat" as "a significant risk to the health or safety of others" that cannot be eliminated by reasonable accommodation of "policies, practices, or procedures." The ADA covers a broader range of individuals than section 504 because the ADA does not limit the prohibition on discrimination merely to programs receiving federal financial assistance. Rather, the ADA proscribes discrimination in "any place of public accommodation." Under the statute's broad definition of "public accommodations," the ADA forbids discrimination by private health care providers, entities which could not be reached under section 504 since many private health care providers typically do not receive "federal financial assistance." Considering section 504's broad definition of "handicap," and

---

127. Id. § 12182(a). The Justice Department's regulations for Title III emphasize that a place of "public accommodation" must be a "private entity" that provides services to the public, as defined by one of the fourteen facilities specified in the statute. See Nondiscrimination on the Basis of Disability by Public Accommodations and in Commercial Facilities, 28 C.F.R. § 36.104 (1992) (defining commercial facilities). Some of the facilities that qualify as public accommodations include hotels, restaurants, bars, theaters, stadiums, grocery stores, shopping centers and offices of "health care provider[s]." 42 U.S.C. § 12181(7)(A-L).

128. 42 U.S.C. § 12181(1). The statute defines the term "commerce" broadly to include "travel, trade, traffic, commerce, transportation, or communication" among the United States or among states and foreign countries. Id.

129. Id. § 12182(3). The regulations specify that entities must utilize the four-pronged, Arline standard to ascertain whether an individual presents a "direct threat" or "significant risk," and whether any potential risks can be reasonably accommodated. See 28 C.F.R. pt. 36, App. B (1992). A covered entity must make reasonable accommodation, "unless the entity can demonstrate that taking such steps would fundamentally alter the nature of the . . . service . . . or would result in an undue burden." 42 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(A)(iii).


131. 42 U.S.C. § 12182(a); see Parmet, supra note 94, at 88-89 (explaining that the ADA was enacted to "fill many of the gaps" left open by § 504).

132. 42 U.S.C. § 12181(7)(F). "The following private entities are considered public accommodations for purposes of this subchapter, if the operations of such entities affect commerce: (F) a professional office of a health care provider, hospital, or other service establishment . . . ." Id.

133. 29 U.S.C. § 794(a) (1988). See supra text accompanying note 127 for a discussion of § 504's coverage of only those private health care providers receiving Medicare or Medicaid payments.

the Supreme Court's liberal interpretation of "handicap," a court could construe the ADA's definition of "disability" to extend coverage to PWAs, as well as to individuals perceived as having AIDS or HIV. Furthermore, a brief examination of the legislative history of the ADA reveals a congressional intent to include PWAs within the scope of ADA coverage.

Because the ADA became law only recently, there has been little reported litigation concerning the issue of whether the ADA is applicable to PWAs. If the courts interpret the ADA to extend to PWAs, the effect will be a major increase in access to health care services for HIV-infected persons. Since the ADA does not exempt private health care providers from its coverage, inclusion of PWAs with the ADA's definition of disability will help remove many of the treatment impediments that PWAs confront in obtaining suitable health care. Health care reform efforts should be consistent with the provisions of the ADA.

C. Breaches of Confidentiality: Barriers to Testing

Many individuals fail to take an HIV test because they fear potential breaches in confidentiality. These individuals are con-
cerned with the effects which might ensue if their test results were made public; such effects may include losing a job or housing and being subject to ridicule.\textsuperscript{143} Persons who suspect that they are HIV-infected have been known to delay early detection and treatment to avoid the potential negative consequences which flow from confidentiality breaches.\textsuperscript{144} However, delays in testing result in missed opportunities for treatment which could have prolonged the life span of an HIV-infected individual or prevented the infection of others.\textsuperscript{145}

There is a developing line of case law in which HIV-infected persons, who suffered breaches of confidentiality, have sued health care providers for unlawfully disclosing their HIV status to others.\textsuperscript{146} Thus, as advocated by both the ABA and the Presidential Commission on AIDS, health care reform should include methods of insuring compliance with existing confidentiality laws,\textsuperscript{147} and it should include uniform confidentiality laws.\textsuperscript{148} Some uniform confidentiality laws have already been proposed.\textsuperscript{149} Uniform laws would permit nationwide education on the rights and obligations of confidentiality and would eliminate the incentive to "forum

\textsuperscript{143} See Health Care Reform, supra note 6, at 144-46.
\textsuperscript{144} See id. at 145-46.
\textsuperscript{145} See Hunter, supra note 22, at 10.
\textsuperscript{147} Although there are no uniform federal law governing confidentiality in HIV testing, some states have enacted their own state confidentiality laws. Among the most comprehensive of such laws is New York State's confidentiality statute. See N.Y. PUB. HEALTH LAW § 2782 (McKinney 1992). However, New York's statute only applies to employees in the state's correctional facilities and parole and probation divisions; the statute's protections do not extend to personnel of the state court system and law enforcement agencies. N.Y.S. BAR ASS'N., REPORT OF THE SPECIAL COMMITTEE ON AIDS AND THE LAW 35 (1992).
\textsuperscript{148} ABA Pol'y & Rep. on AIDS, supra note 23, § B.1, at 9. "[S]pecific confidentiality protections should be afforded to HIV-related information under state and federal statutes and judicial and administrative procedures." Id. "The Presidential Commission has identified the lack of uniform confidentiality protections as a significant obstacle to HIV prevention efforts, and has called both for the enactment of a federal law and for the development of model state confidentiality legislation which would enunciate a general confidentiality rule . . . ." Id. at 37 (citing REP. OF THE PRESIDENTIAL COMM'N ON THE HUMAN IMMUNODEFICIENCY VIRUS EPIDEMIC, at 120 (1988)).
\textsuperscript{149} ABA Pol'y & Rep. on AIDS, supra note 23, at 37-38 (citing Comment, Protecting Confidentiality in the Effort to Control AIDS, 24 HARV. J. ON LEGIS. 315, 345 (1987)). Furthermore, the Intergovernmental Health Policy Project is devising a report regarding existing informed consent and state confidentiality laws. Id.; see also Sharon Rennert, AIDS/HIV and Confidentiality, MODEL POL'Y AND PROCEDURES (ABA AIDS and Developmental Disabilities Project) 1991.
shop" for a venue which offers the greatest confidentiality in HIV-testing.

IV. AIDS AND PRIVATE PAYORS

A. The Comparative Cost of AIDS Care

AIDS and HIV-related medical conditions are among the most litigated and controversial diseases in the history of the United States. While the costs of HIV and AIDS medical care are great, they are not vastly different than for other serious medical conditions. In the early 1980s, however, some insurance companies and hospitals, facing an increasing number of HIV patients with long hospital stays and potential labor-intensive care, claimed that the enormous costs of AIDS threatened to drive them into insolvency. The earliest studies on the potential cost of the epidemic indicated that the health care industry's costs would be extraordinary and in a different class than that of other serious illnesses. However, in 1986 and 1987, the studies of other respected institutions tempered some of the high numbers that were initially reported and estimated costs from diagnosis to death that were well below previous figures. In 1992 the lifetime costs of treatment for a person with HIV/AIDS illnesses were estimated at $102,000. Although comparative cost studies are still viewed as relatively incomplete, the costs of treating HIV and AIDS illnesses are no more disastrous than for other serious illnesses. For example, patients who need liver transplants have lifetime medical costs that are three to four times that of a person with AIDS. Medical expenses for other chronic illnesses yield a similar compar-

150. See NATIONAL RESEARCH COUNCIL, THE SOCIAL IMPACT OF AIDS IN THE UNITED STATES 61-63 (Albert R. Honsen & Jeff Stryker eds., 1983) (discussing the litigation that has arisen out to the transmission of the HIV-infection within the health care industry).

151. See id. at 71 (stating that "the current costs for care of AIDS patients has . . . a noticable, but not an overwhelming impact on health care financing").


153. Id. at 202-03. A CDC study was among the first and most publicized analyses of health care costs and claimed a $147,000 hospitalization expense for persons with AIDS from diagnosis to death. Id. at 202.

154. Id. at 203-05 (estimating cost from diagnosis to death in a range from $27,500 to $59,000).

155. HEALTH CARE REFORM, supra note 6, at 67 (citing Hellinger, Assessing the Medical Care Costs of the HIV Epidemic in the United States: 1992-95, Abstract No. WeC1033 (VIII Int'l Conf. on AIDS, July 19-24, 1992)).

156. See Fox and Thomas, supra note 152, at 209. ("Methods for studying the costs of routine care for patients with different diseases in particular hospitals remain inadequate, particularly in an era of reimbursement systems based on diagnosis.").

In fact, studies of actual insurance claims indicate that AIDS-related costs have not had nearly the dramatic effect originally perceived. Spending for AIDS is less than one percent of the total amount of medical spending in the United States, and the National Commission on AIDS has predicted that it is likely that this amount will never rise above two percent. Despite these figures, the early estimates of health care expenses for AIDS have created the perception that AIDS-related illnesses are uniquely costly to the health care and insurance industries.

At present, The National Commission on AIDS estimates that twenty to twenty-five percent of HIV-infected persons have no form of medical coverage. One survey found that only seven percent of public hospital admissions with AIDS in 1985 were covered by private insurance. Studies also show that persons with HIV/AIDS are eight times as likely to have completely lost health care coverage as persons without the disease. Medicaid takes over much of the burden; forty percent of AIDS patients are covered by this program, which is over four times the rate of coverage of the general population. Although Medicaid funds eleven percent of all medical costs in general, it provides for ninety percent of medical costs of children with AIDS and twenty-five percent of the national total for AIDS-related medical expenses. For the fiscal year 1992, Medicaid and Medicare together spent $1.3 billion in federal funds

158. Heart disease treatment cost $101.3 billion in 1990 health care expenditures, which was 20 times that of the amount required for AIDS-related care in 1991. Paraplegia resulting from automobile accidents, myocardial infarction, and breast cancer also have similar lifetime costs as that of persons with AIDS. The annual medical costs of a person with AIDS is actually less than the cost of a heart transplant or a year’s supply of clotting factor for a hemophiliac. Health Care Reform, supra note 6, at 67-68 (outlining several medical conditions which have been more costly to treat than HIV/AIDS related illnesses).

159. Id. at 70 (citing studies).

160. Id. at 67.

161. Id. (citing 1991 report by the National Commission on AIDS).

162. See Lawrence Bartlett, Financing Health Care For Persons With AIDS: Balancing Public and Private Responsibilities, in AIDS AND THE HEALTH CARE SYSTEM, supra note 152, at 211, 211. On the other hand, as one commentator has observed, AIDS "magnifies the deficiencies in financing of health care . . . [and] may show society in starkest form the hardship and despair of a system that does not guarantee health care for all citizens." Lawrence O. Gostin, Preface to AIDS AND THE HEALTH CARE SYSTEM, supra note 152, at 3, 10.

163. Health Care Reform, supra note 6, at 69 (citing the Nat’l Comm’n on AIDS study).

164. Bartlett, supra note 162, at 213.

165. Health Care Reform, supra note 6, at 69 (citing Kass et. al., Loss of Private Health Insurance Among Homosexual Men with AIDS, 28 Inquiry 249 (1991)). When they are able to maintain private coverage, PWAs generally pay higher premiums than those without the disease. Id.

166. Fineberg, supra note 157, at 314.
for AIDS care.  

B. Limitations in Private Health Insurance

The high cost of AIDS medical care, the perception that it is even costlier than it is in fact, and the certainty that HIV-infection leads to AIDS, all have made problematic securing third-party payment of health costs for HIV-infected persons. The overwhelming majority of private insurers consider persons with AIDS or AIDS-related conditions to be “uninsurable.” As a result, a variety of methods have been employed to exclude PWAs from private coverage. The past ten years have shown a dramatic decline in coverage of people with HIV. One effective underwriting method has been to require a test for the HIV antibody. By 1987, most insurance companies had imposed HIV-testing on insurance applicants. Some jurisdictions, including Washington, D.C., Massachusetts and New York, responded by prohibiting this practice. However, these laws have been widely challenged and many have been overturned.

167. HEALTH CARE REFORM, supra note 6, at 70-72. Commentators predict that the federal government in 1993 will spend $1.6 billion and the states will spend $1.2 billion to provide AIDS health care to Medicaid beneficiaries. Id.

168. The ABA contends that “[a]ll health insurance policies and health plans that cover a comprehensive range of medical conditions should cover AIDS, ARC, and HIV to the same extent as other serious medical conditions.” ABA Pol’y & Rep. on AIDS, supra note 23, § F.10, at 13. The ABA also asserts that “[i]nsurers should not cancel or refuse to renew . . . polic[ies] because of the individual’s HIV-related claims or a change in health status related to HIV.” Id. § F.14, at 14. See Michele Zavos, ABA, AIDS and Insurance: No Guarantees, HUM. RTS., Winter 1993, at 18, 19 [hereinafter Zavos, AIDS and Insurance] (discussing the insurance industry’s attempt to reduce coverage to individuals with AIDS related medical claims, and the litigation surrounding this issue).

169. CLEARINGHOUSE REV., supra note 14, at 736-37. A 1987 survey by the Health Insurance Association of America found that 91 percent of HIV-infected persons were considered uninsurable and all persons diagnosed with full-blown AIDS were unable to get policies from insurance companies. HEALTH CARE REFORM, supra note 6, at 80 (citing INTERGOVERNMENTAL HEALTH POLICY PROJECT, INTERGOVERNMENTAL AIDS REPORTS, March/April 1990, at 6).

170. HEALTH CARE REFORM, supra note 8, at 73.


172. CLEARINGHOUSE REV., supra note 14, at 737-38. The ABA urges that HIV tests conducted for underwriting purposes should conform to “generally accepted public health protocols.” ABA Pol’y & Rep. on AIDS, supra note 23, § F.5, at 13.

173. CLEARINGHOUSE REV., supra note 14, at 738; HEALTH CARE REFORM, supra note 6, at 80; see, e.g., Health Ins. Ass’n of America v. Corcoran, 551 N.Y.S.2d 615 (N.Y. App. Div. 1990) (holding that use of HIV test results was a valid underwriting practice under state law), aff’d, 565 N.E.2d 1264 (N.Y. 1990); Life Ins. Ass’n of Mass. v. Commissioner of Ins., 530 N.E.2d 168 (1988). The insurance industry’s response to the law in Washington, D.C., was to refuse to write policies in the district. Bartlett, supra note 162, at 215. The D.C. City Council subsequently rescinded the ban. Id.
Some insurance companies have been accused of using other underwriting factors as proxies for HIV and AIDS diagnoses. For example, an applicant's residence, occupation, or perceived sexual orientation may have been used to screen out individuals considered to be at risk for HIV-infection. The National Association of Insurance Commissioners, with the assistance of gay rights advocates and representatives of the insurance industry, has issued model guidelines that prohibit these practices. These guidelines have been officially adopted in some states, and are used to provide guidance in others.

Even when a person has obtained a policy, there are other ways in which coverage of PWAs can be limited. Many policies impose waiting periods or fail to reimburse the costs of preexisting conditions. A preexisting condition triggers an average nine month waiting period, although some plans have a longer term. State law also allows insurers to rescind policies upon the discovery of a materially false or misleading statement or omission on an application, and HIV-positive policy holders may lose coverage on such bases. The applicant typically must fill out a comprehensive medical history questionnaire with a variety of HIV-related questions (e.g., past symptoms), and misstatements in response to these questions can support a policy recision even if the alleged misstatements are not related to claims.

In addition, the scope of insurance coverage is often inadequate for indicated treatment of persons with HIV and AIDS. Most private policies do not cover reimbursement for prescription drugs. This is especially significant to HIV-infected individuals and PWAs because of the importance of drugs in their treatment and the

174. See CLEARINGHOUSE REV., supra note 14, at 738. The ABA's policy is that “[i]nsurers should not cancel or refuse to renew or increase premiums on an individual insurance policy because of the individual's HIV-related claims or a change in health status related to HIV.” ABA Pol'y & Rep. on AIDS, supra note 23, § F.14, at 14.

175. HEALTH CARE REFORM, supra note 6, at 82-83. Although HIV-infection alone, as a latent condition, may not be accurately classified as a preexisting condition according to standard use, many insurers treat it as one for claim purposes anyway. Id.

176. See HEALTH CARE REFORM, supra note 6, at 84-85. Cf. Waxse v. Reserve Life Ins. Co., 809 P.2d 533 (Kan. 1991) (holding that insurance company did not have grounds to rescind a contract with an HIV-infected person who answered negatively on an application question asking if he had “blood disorders” absent a specific inquiry to AIDS or the HIV virus) (cited in HEALTH CARE REFORM, supra note 6, at 85).

177. HEALTH CARE REFORM, supra note 6, at 84 (citations omitted). The ABA policy states that “an insurer should be prohibited from asking an applicant whether they have taken an HIV test or sought counseling regarding HIV.” ABA Pol'y & Rep. on AIDS, supra note 23, § F.6, at 13.

178. HEALTH CARE REFORM, supra note 6, at 86.

179. “Prescription drugs dominate HIV care, which is chiefly delivered in outpatient settings rather than in hospitals. . . . Prescription drugs consume as
high cost of drugs that treat HIV-infection. Insurance policies usually do not cover experimental treatments and require FDA approval if drugs are to be covered. As the National Commission on AIDS has observed, however, "[t]reatments that are technically experimental may be the standard of care for HIV disease." Insurance policies also often exclude coverage for long-term and preventive care. Preventive care, particularly testing and counseling, is increasingly recognized as the means with the most potential for curbing the spread of HIV. Similarly, long-term care has become more important as the life expectancy of PWAs rises. Finally, private insurance companies may simply write policies that explicitly limit or exclude AIDS-related benefits even though such practices are prohibited by state insurance regulations.

On another front, self-insurers are also exploring means to lessen the covered expense of PWA treatment. Two out of three Americans use employment-based plans for their health coverage, making it the largest source of health care financing in the country. Of this number, approximately sixty percent are covered by self-insured plans. Because smaller employers have increasingly eliminated health benefits for their employees, the number of privately insured Americans has decreased in the past several years.

Employers are also concerned about the cost of medical treatment for PWAs and those with HIV. Self-insured employers, how-

180. Id. at 88. Foscarnet has an annual expense of $20,000 and other drugs range from $2,000 to $8,000 for a year's supply. Id. at 89-90.
181. Clearinghouse Rev., supra note 14, at 738; see also Health Care Reform, supra note 8, at 91-95. The ABA suggests that "[i]nsurers should be encouraged to include coverage of drugs which have been approved by the FDA under a 'Treatment IND' mechanism." ABA Pol'y & Rep. on AIDS, supra note 23, § F.12, at 14.
183. Health Care Reform, supra note 6, at 97. When cost-effective, the ABA encourages insurers to cover treatments in nursing homes, hospices, and outpatient facilities. ABA Pol'y & Rep. on AIDS, supra note 23, § F.10, at 13. The ABA also recommends case management techniques. Id. § F.11, at 14.
185. Health Care Reform, supra note 6, at 97.
187. Health Care Reform, supra note 6, at 10 (citing Rockefeller, A Call for Action: The Pepper Commission's Blueprint for Health Care Reform, 265 JAMA 2507, 2508 (May 15, 1991)).
189. See Health Care Reform, supra note 6, at 10 (citation omitted).
ever, may be able to avoid full coverage of AIDS and HIV more easily than insurance companies because they are regulated by the Employment Retirement Income Security Act (ERISA) rather than individual states.\textsuperscript{190} The Supreme Court has held that this federal action preempts state regulation of self-insured benefit plans.\textsuperscript{191} ERISA, however, has placed few restraints on the efforts of self-insured employers to escape HIV-related liability.

One of the most publicized of these efforts was upheld by the Fifth Circuit in \textit{McGann v. H & H Music Co.}\textsuperscript{192} In that case, a self-insured employer reduced its employees' lifetime $1 million maximum health insurance coverage for all medical claims to a $5,000 ceiling for AIDS-related claims. McGann, an employee with AIDS, sued the employer under Section 510 of ERISA when his benefits reached their limit.\textsuperscript{193} The court held that ERISA did not prohibit a self-insured employer from imposing insurance caps under the theory that an employer may terminate or amend a plan at any time, and that the availability of the $1 million maximum was not a "right" protected by Section 510.\textsuperscript{194} This was the case even though McGann was the only employee adversely affected by the plan.\textsuperscript{195} Although the ABA, among other groups including the American Medical Association and the National Governors' Association, urged the Solicitor General to support the plaintiff's petition for a writ of certiorari,\textsuperscript{196} the Supreme Court denied the petition in 1992 and allowed the lower court decision to stand.\textsuperscript{197} Another recent case on similar facts has also held that "defendant's unilateral modification of an existing plan cannot support a [Section] 510 claim."\textsuperscript{198}

\textsuperscript{191} FMC Corp. v. Holliday, 498 U.S. 52 (1990); see \textit{Health Care Reform}, supra note 6, at 13.
\textsuperscript{192} 946 F.2d 401 (5th Cir. 1991), cert. denied 113 S. Ct. 482 (1992); see also Owens v. Storehouse, 773 F. Supp. 416 (N.D. Ga. 1991), aff'd, 984 F.2d 394 (11th Cir. 1993).
\textsuperscript{193} McGann, 946 F.2d at 402.
\textsuperscript{194} Id. at 403. Section 510 of ERISA provides in relevant part:
\begin{quote}
It shall be unlawful for any person to discharge, fine, suspend, expel, discipline, or discriminate against a participant or beneficiary for exercising any right to which he is entitled under the provisions of an employee benefit plan, . . . or for the purpose of interfering with the attainment of any right to which such participant may become entitled under the plan . . . .
\end{quote}
\textsuperscript{195} McGann, 946 F.2d at 405 (stating that "McGann's allegations show no promised benefit, for there is nothing to indicate that defendants ever promised that the $1,000,000 coverage limit was permanent").
\textsuperscript{196} Id. at 406-08.
\textsuperscript{197} See \textit{Javos, AIDS and Insurance}, supra note 168, at 19.
\textsuperscript{198} Owens v. Storehouse, 773 F. Supp 416, 419 (N.D. Ga. 1991), aff'd, 984 F.2d 394 (11th Cir. 1993). One of the collateral effects of the uninsurability of persons with serious medical conditions is that they are forced to stay in a job if they are fortunate enough to get some coverage for fear of not being able to reinsure. This phenomena, known as "job lock," reflects the importance of
The current state of the law allowing limits to previously established health benefits is certainly not restricted to people with HIV/AIDS. In limiting coverage, however, employers may be counting on the stigma of HIV/AIDS and on the assumption that other employees will not object to the reduction of benefits to PWAs.199 In addition, the National Association of Attorneys General has observed that “ERISA has become a tool for employers who wish to avoid their obligations under state law.”200

C. Confronting the Gaps in Private Insurance

Other employees are challenging the same type of insurance practices used in McGann under the Americans with Disabilities Act.201 Section 102(a) of the Act,202 which now applies to employers with twenty-five or more employees, prohibits discrimination based on a disability in the terms, conditions, and privileges of employment.203 However, the crucial provision in this context will likely be Section 501(c).204 This section states that the ADA is not intended to restrict employers in the operation of bona fide benefit plans based on the underwriting, classification, or administration of risks that are consistent with state law. In addition, the ADA is not intended to prohibit bona fide benefit plans not subject to state law.205 Benefit practices, however, will not be protected if they are “a subterfuge used to evade the purposes” of the Act.206 Because there is no statutory definition of this provision in the Act, courts may look at analogous provisions in other anti-discrimination statutes to determine its meaning. For example, the Supreme Court interpreted similar language in the Age Discrimination in Employment Act (ADEA)207 in deciding whether a plan that limited benefits to those under age sixty constituted a subterfuge to evade the purposes of that Act.208 The court took a narrow approach and held that there was no subterfuge unless the plan was adopted for the purpose of discriminating against an individual on the basis of age

---

199. See Zavos, AIDS and Insurance, supra note 168, at 19.
200. HEALTH CARE REFORM, supra note 6, at 13-14 (citing N. Y. TIMES, Mar. 29, 1992).
202. Id. § 12112(a).
203. On July 26, 1994, § 102 will apply to employers with 15 or more employees. The Act also reaches indirect discrimination by prohibiting the employer’s participation in contracts or other arrangements that have the effect of discriminating against employees with a disability. Id. § 12112(b).
204. Id. § 12201(c).
205. Id.
in a non-benefit aspect of the employment relationship.\textsuperscript{209}

The Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC) recently issued interim guidelines for the application of the ADA to employer provided health insurance.\textsuperscript{210} These guidelines provide that once a disability-based distinction is found in an employer's health insurance plan, the employer has the burden of proving that the distinction comes within the protective ambit of Section 501(c).\textsuperscript{211} The EEOC also defines subterfuge in the guidelines as "disability-based disparate treatment that is not justified by the risks or costs associated with the disability."\textsuperscript{212}

In 1993, the EEOC found that a union health plan which excluded HIV or AIDS from its coverage violated the ADA on its face.\textsuperscript{213} The Commission found that the employer had no "viable defense" under the Act. The issue is now pending in the United States District Court in New York.\textsuperscript{214} In another case, Kadinger v. IBEW Local 110, the estate of a union member challenged a cap on AIDS-related benefits set by the member's union and its health insurer.\textsuperscript{215} This may be the first case to deal with this issue.\textsuperscript{216}

\textsuperscript{209} Id. at 181.

\textsuperscript{210} See EEOC Interim Guidance on Application of ADA to Health Insurance (issued June 8, 1993) [hereinafter Interim Guidance]; see also Disability Bias in Health Insurance Barred, WASH. POST, June 9, 1993, at A14.

\textsuperscript{211} See Interim Guidance, supra note 210, § III. "[I]t is the respondent employer who has control of the risk assessment, actuarial, and/or claims data relied upon in adopting the challenged disability-based distinction." Id.

\textsuperscript{212} Id. The guidelines also set forth a "non-exclusive" list of potential business/insurance justifications available to the employer. For example, the employer may prove that the disparate treatment is justified by legitimate actuarial data and that comparable conditions are treated similarly, or that the distinction is necessitated by the requirements of "fiscal soundness." Id.


\textsuperscript{216} To date there are no court decisions on this issue.
In addition, legislative efforts are being made to prevent the outcome upheld in *McGann*. Representative William Hughes (D.-N.J.), introduced the Group Health Plan Nondiscrimination Act of 1992, HR 6147 (introduced to the House on October 5, 1992). The bill would amend Title I of ERISA to prohibit a limitation or elimination of benefits in self-insured policies which occur after the employee has submitted his claim for reimbursement.

There are other methods currently in use that also serve to extend private insurance coverage. Thirty-six states have conversion requirements that enable individuals previously covered under employment-based policies to switch to an individual policy within a certain amount of time. These individual policies, however, often provide for coverage that is significantly inferior to that under the group plans. In 1985, the Consolidated Omnibus Reconciliation Act (COBRA) established a continuation requirement for employers of twenty or more workers mandating that employers provide eighteen months of benefit coverage for workers after they leave their jobs. COBRA, however, may have limited potential for HIV-infected people. Many persons with HIV work for smaller employers than those covered by COBRA. Furthermore, although the premiums are limited by law, they are often high enough to preclude individuals from paying them, particularly with the drop in income that accompanies the loss of a job. Some states have addressed this issue by publicly funding COBRA premiums, which is usually less expensive for the states’ Medicaid programs. However, to the extent that the COBRA premiums do not cover the true cost of insuring these individuals the cost is shifted from the state to the private sector.

---

217. See Fight Against Greenberg Decision; Legislation to Amend ERISA Planned for 1993, 7 AIDS POL’Y & LAW, Nov. 27, 1992, at 1, 2; Zavos, AIDS and Insurance, supra note 168, at 19.

218. See Zavos, AIDS and Insurance, supra note 168, at 19. Senator Boxer (D.-Ca.) has introduced a companion bill in the Senate.

219. Bartlett, supra note 162, at 216 (discussing conversion requirements for previous members of employee based group policies).

220. HEALTH CARE REFORM, supra note 6, at 96. In addition, these state requirements do not affect self-insurers covered by ERISA. Id.

221. HEALTH CARE REFORM, supra note 6, at 95. When a worker leaves employment due to a disability and qualifies for SSDI, the continuation term is extended to 29 months. HEALTH CARE REFORM, supra note 6, at 95. Thirty-five states also have their own continuation requirements. Bartlett, supra note 162, at 216 (discussing affordability of coverage required under conversion and continuation agreements).

222. HEALTH CARE REFORM, supra note 6, at 96 (discussing limitations of COBRA insurance coverage).

223. Id.; Bartlett, supra note 162, at 216 (discussing how most unemployed people can not afford COBRA coverage).

224. See HEALTH CARE REFORM, supra note 6, at 122 (discussing how states attempt to assist HIV patients not capable of affording COBRA).
A third method by which states shore up private coverage is to establish risk pools. A risk pool requires insurers in the state to share the underwriting responsibilities for otherwise uninsurable persons. The purpose of these programs is to guarantee the opportunity to purchase health insurance to all persons, regardless of their medical condition. The biggest barrier to effectiveness, however, is once again cost. Even though state law imposes price caps, risk pool premiums are generally 125-200 percent higher than standard private insurance rates. Moreover, Wisconsin and Maine are the only states to establish a subsidy program for indigents' premiums. A related problem is that because ERISA preempts state regulation of self-insurers, these employers cannot be required to contribute to state risk pools. The costs associated with these plans are thus carried by a much smaller base of insurers. Consequently, risk pools are unable to provide a comprehensive solution to the health care problem of uninsureds who are not poor, but who might become so when confronted with significant medical costs.

However, many young people, the indigent, and IV drug users are not, and never have been, candidates for private health insurance, with or without HIV-infection. The issue of medical coverage for these individuals is a problem that cannot be solved through the private insurance system or employee benefits coverage. If the present trend in demographics of HIV-infection continues, health insurance underwriting restriction, limits on rate increases, COBRA continuation rights, ERISA preemption limits, the ADA, and the availability of risk pools will become increasingly irrelevant to the discussion of medical coverage for individuals with HIV infection.

225. Bartlett, supra note 162, at 216-17. By 1991, 24 states had provided for risk pools. HEALTH CARE REFORM, supra note 6, at 138 (discussing how 24 states have created major risk pools in order to provide insurance for people uninsurable due to their medical conditions).


227. TRIPPLER, supra note 226, at 4; CLEARINGHOUSE REV., supra note 14, at 735.

228. CLEARINGHOUSE REV., supra note 14, at 735. As a result the participation in risk pools has been much lower than anticipated. Id.

229. CONFRONTING AIDS, supra note 186, at 279-80. In addition, all of the risk pools have waiting periods lasting from six months to a year that prohibit coverage of preexisting conditions. TRIPPLER, supra note 226, at 29-30; see Bartlett, supra note 168, at 217 (stating how costs associated with risk pools are borne only by the parties purchasing traditional health insurance coverage).
V. THE UNINSURED AND PUBLIC FINANCING

A. The Burden on Public Financing

The exclusion of persons with AIDS and HIV from private coverage as described above necessarily means that a large number of these individuals will be forced to rely on public programs for the financing of their medical care. In essence, AIDS confronts a health care system that is designed around the concept that long-term, expensive illnesses occur primarily in old age.\(^2\) This shift from a private health care system to the public financing of AIDS care will only continue as the demographics of persons with AIDS change from homosexuals to drug-users and their sexual partners.\(^3\)

Uninsured persons are generally poor, young, unmarried, uneducated, rural, of color, part-time or self-employed workers.\(^4\) The lack of health coverage predictably leads to inadequate medical care. Even though they are typically in worse health than insured people, the uninsured are less likely to receive any medical care, see a doctor, or receive in-patient medical care. Persons with chronic ailments who have no insurance are about fifty percent as likely to see a doctor and are discharged sooner than those with insurance.\(^5\) Other studies have found that babies born to uninsured parents are thirty percent more likely to be sick or die than those of insured parents. The uninsured are thirty-nine percent less likely to have coronary angiograms and 29 percent less probable to have bypass surgery.\(^6\) In addition, as will be discussed, the public financing of medical treatment contains other problems for uninsured HIV-infected persons.

B. Problems of Access in Medicare and Medicaid Coverage

1. Medicaid

In general, Medicaid has two separate eligibility requirements

\(^2\) Fox & Thomas, supra note 152, at 205, 208 (discussing how the major diseases resulting in death usually occur in old age).

\(^3\) Bartlett, supra note 162, at 213 (“Members of this latter population are less likely to hold jobs that provide employment-related health benefits or, because they are often low income, to have purchased individual insurance coverage prior to their infection.”). The National Commission on AIDS has suggested that the “Medicaidization” of AIDS health care stems from two sources: the spread of the virus among low-income persons and the simultaneous contraction of private health insurance coverage. 1991 Nat’l Comm’n Rep., supra note 10, at 72-73.

The ABA advocates a shared responsibility between public and private groups for persons who are medically uninsurable. ABA Pol’y & Rep. on AIDS, supra note 23, § F.15, at 14.

\(^4\) Health Care Reform, supra note 6, at 8-9 (discussing the categories of people who fall into the group of uninsured).

\(^5\) Id. at 9-10.

\(^6\) Zavos, AIDS and Insurance, supra note 168, at 20-21 (stating the increased health insurance risk factors facing the uninsured).
that must be met to qualify for coverage. First, the applicant must satisfy the appropriate means test. In most states, this is tied to the Supplemental Security Income (SSI) program's monthly income limits. Second, to receive Medicaid benefits, the applicant must be a member of one of the covered groups. Thus, an otherwise financially eligible person must be "disabled" as determined by the Social Security Administration (SSA), a member of a family receiving Aid to Families with Dependent Children (AFDC), blind, pregnant, under the age of seven, or over sixty-five. The majority of states allow persons who meet SSI's disability requirements (disabled and unable to work) to qualify automatically for Medicaid. Other states, however, have established their own disability requirements which are stricter than that of SSI.

Most persons with AIDS qualify for Medicaid on the basis of disability, although low-income women and children can also become eligible for Aid to Families with Dependent Children (AFDC). To expedite the process, PWAs are presumptively considered to be disabled under the SSI rules, subject to a later review. Individuals who would otherwise be eligible for Medicaid based on disability or AFDC, but whose income exceeds the SSI limit, may still qualify in most states for coverage as "medically needy" if their income dips below a certain level after they "spend down" in paying for medical expenses. In many states, this amount is calculated every six months, so that Medicaid will only be available to reimburse the amount below the spend-down level after the costs have been incurred.

The eligibility requirements of Medicaid create special problems of access for persons with AIDS. A person with full-blown AIDS is considered "disabled" under the guidelines, but seropositive people with mild symptoms or those who are being treated only prophylactically (e.g., AZT maintenance) may have trouble gaining eligibility to the program. Thus, applicants without an AIDS diagnosis do not get the benefit of a presumption and must show that they should qualify as disabled. This problem is even more acute for women and children who have the most difficulty in gaining ac-

235. See Shacknai, supra note 31, at 183-84 (discussing that requirements of "financial" and "categorical" must be meant to qualify for Medicaid).
236. Id. at 184 (stating that SSI's monthly income limit is $386, although this may be higher in some states).
237. Id. at 184 (stating the "categorical" requirements one needs to qualify for Medicaid).
238. CLEARINGHOUSE REV., supra note 14, at 727-28.
239. Bartlett, supra note 162, at 219. This presumption is based on the CDC definition of AIDS. Bartlett, supra note 162, at 219. See also supra notes 5-8 and accompanying text.
240. CLEARINGHOUSE REV., supra note 14, at 728-29.
241. Shacknai, supra note 31, at 184-85 (discussing that the severity of the diagnosis of a person who is HIV-infected may determine Medicaid eligibility).
access to health care. Qualifying as disabled requires the use of expensive tests that may be difficult to obtain in public hospitals. Moreover, although CDC estimates that sixty percent of all persons with HIV would benefit by early intervention, Medicaid withholds this type of treatment through its strict disability rules. Consequently, low-income HIV-infected persons dependent on Medicaid are deprived of valuable and life-prolonging medical care and may die sooner than wealthier patients.

The financial requirements create similar problems of access to people with HIV. Thirteen states have no “spend-down” provisions for the medically needy, requiring applicants to effectively impoverish themselves before they become eligible for Medicaid funds. In addition, once applicants do qualify for Medicaid, they can easily rise above the required income level and disqualify themselves. Thus, even though HIV-infected persons may be able to work, Medicaid discourages employment by requiring those eligible for aid to remain poor. In addition, in the states that have no provisions for the medically needy, Medicaid eligibility may be forfeited upon an individual’s qualification for Social Security benefits after losing his or her job. These persons are effectively in “limbo” because they are precluded from obtaining private insurance and are placed out of Medicaid coverage.

242. Id. at 185. For a discussion of HIV-infected women and problems with access to health care, see supra notes 46-67 and accompanying text. The variation in eligibility requirements also raises issues of fairness as HIV-infected patients in more affluent states can receive more coverage. For example, a 1987 study found that 54 percent of patients with AIDS were eligible for Medicare in the Northeast, compared to 18 percent in the South. HEALTH CARE REFORM, supra note 6, at 105 (citing National Commission on Aids report).

The ABA believes it important that both “[p]ublic and private entities . . . expeditiously develop and implement HIV-related programs targeted to serve minority communities.” ABA Pol’y & Rep. on AIDS, supra note 23, § D.4, at 12.

243. Shacknai, supra note 31, at 185 (discussing how hospitals do not always render official AIDS diagnoses because doing so would trigger state requirements of those diagnosed with AIDS to be hospitalized regardless of ability to pay).

244. HEALTH CARE REFORM, supra note 6, at 103-04 (citations omitted). In fact, studies show that early intervention saves the government money by delaying expensive end-stage care into the future. Patients who have received early intervention also require less expensive hospitalization in the latter stages of the disease. Id. (citations omitted).

245. See supra note 244 and accompanying text for a discussion of cost saving benefits of early medical intervention.

246. Shacknai, supra note 31, at 187 (discussing how states with no “spend down” provisions require people to pay for health care out-of-pocket until they attain poverty); see also HEALTH CARE REFORM, supra note 6, at 106-07 (discussing how states without “spend down” provisions require patients to pay for health care out-of-pocket until they are impoverished).

247. Shacknai, supra note 31, at 188 (discussing how “categorical” and “financial” requirements for Medicaid eligibility require impoverishment).

248. Bartlett, supra note 162, at 219 (discussing how the receiving of social security can terminate medical coverage).
The various types of Medicaid coverage and service adversely affect persons with AIDS and HIV as well.249 Some services, such as in-patient hospital care, outpatient care, and laboratory services are required to be covered under federal mandate. Other services, including prescription drugs, hospice care, case management, and intermediate care facility services are optional.250 Currently, however, only ten states provide for home- and community-based services, which are increasingly recognized as more effective than inpatient care for many HIV-infected persons. Hospice services are part of the Medicaid program in only about half the states.251

It is also common for state Medicaid plans to place limits on whatever services they do offer. These limits restrict the effectiveness of health care available for low-income PWAs and may increase government expense in the long run.252 For example, Medicaid agencies may limit the number of hospital days, doctor visits, or types of specific services that they will cover.253 In addition, when Medicaid covers a service, it often has a low rate of reimbursement.254 This discourages health care providers from treating HIV-infected persons and forces these patients into busy public hospitals and clinics.255 Although all jurisdictions cover the optional service of prescription drugs, some states limit the number of refills or place cost limits on reimbursement.256 Every state currently reimburses for AZT, but other drugs, such as aerosolized pentamidine and fansidar, may be excluded or limited.257

249. The ABA advocates “flexible mechanisms for payment, including expediting the Medicaid waiver review process, to allow more treatment alternatives for HIV.” ABA Pol’y & Rep. on AIDS, supra note 23, § D.3, at 12.
250. CLEARINGHOUSE Rev., supra note 14, at 730.
251. Shacknai, supra note 31, at 190. In addition to their effectiveness, these alternatives are less costly than full hospitalization, the most expensive health care option. Id. at 194.

Home-based and community-based care may be provided if a state first obtains a “section 2176 waiver.” Congress has authorized states to focus on certain groups, such as persons with AIDS, in providing services like private nursing and hospice care. See 42 U.S.C. § 1396(n) (1988); CLEARINGHOUSE Rev., supra note 14, at 733. There are limits, however. The waivers cannot be used to provide services otherwise provided by the state and cannot include vocational or educational activities. Id. at 733.

252. HEALTH CARE REFORM, supra note 6, at 108 (discussing how Medicaid restrictions on prescription drugs for HIV patients results in increased costs due to unnecessary illness in the future).
253. CLEARINGHOUSE Rev., supra note 14, at 730-31. For example, Arkansas limits recipients to twelve covered days of inpatient care per year and Louisiana provides for only ten. Bartlett, supra note 162, at 219.
254. See Shacknai, supra note 31, at 190 (discussing how Medicaid reimbursement falls far below costs for HIV patients).
255. HEALTH CARE REFORM, supra note 6, at 107 (discussing how limitations on Medicaid reimbursement results in inferior care for HIV patients).
256. Shacknai, supra note 31, at 191 (discussing how Medicaid fails to provide adequate coverage for prescription drugs).
257. Id.
tion, low-income persons may be unable to take advantage of the recent liberalization in the availability of experimental drugs because most state Medicaid programs require full approval from the Food and Drug Administration for reimbursed treatments.\textsuperscript{258}

Limitations on Medicaid services have been challenged in several states and jurisdictions have been split in their responses.\textsuperscript{259} Federal rules require that the extent of covered Medicaid services must be "sufficient in amount, duration, and scope to reasonably achieve its purpose."\textsuperscript{260} For example, the Fourth Circuit, citing the flexibility of states under Medicaid, has upheld limitations on the number of covered days of in-patient and outpatient hospital services.\textsuperscript{261} California courts, on the other hand, have struck down a state rule that arbitrarily restricted the drugs the program would reimburse.\textsuperscript{262} The Supreme Court has also held that a state's reduction in Medicaid coverage of hospital days does not violate the Federal Rehabilitation Act.\textsuperscript{263}

2. \textit{Medicare}

In general, Medicare covers citizens over age sixty-five and persons with a disability who meet certain work history requirements. Medicare pays for only one percent of the AIDS health care expenses.\textsuperscript{264} Medicare is tied to Social Security Disability Insurance employment history requirements, and thus individuals with an inadequate past work record may be ineligible for benefits.\textsuperscript{265} Even more significantly, an otherwise eligible participant must have received SSDI checks for two years — making this program essen-

\begin{itemize}
\item \textsuperscript{258} See \textit{Health Care Reform}, \textit{supra} note 6, at 110-111; Shacknai, \textit{supra} note 31, at 191. Activists pressured the FDA to broaden the availability of investigational new drugs (INDs) for HIV-infected people. Medicaid, however, does not cover these INDs until there is complete FDA approval. Shacknai, \textit{supra} note 31, at 191.
\item \textsuperscript{259} See \textit{Health Care Reform}, \textit{supra} note 6, at 108-09. In general, state limitations must comport with federal regulations requiring the services to be adequate in amount, duration, and scope, and to cover all "medically necessary" services. See \textit{Clearinghouse Rev.}, \textit{supra} note 14, at 731.
\item \textsuperscript{260} 42 C.F.R. § 440.230(b) (1992).
\item \textsuperscript{261} Charleston Memorial Hosp. v. Conrad, 693 F.2d 324, 330 (4th Cir. 1982) (cited in \textit{Health Care Reform}, \textit{supra} note 6, at 109 n.168; see also, e.g., Ellis v. Patterson, 859 F.2d 52, 55 (8th Cir. 1988); Curtis v. Taylor, 625 F.2d 645, 652 (5th Cir. 1980); Stan Dorn et al., \textit{Maximizing Coverage for Medicaid Clients}, 20 \textit{Clearinghouse Rev.} 411, 411-414 (1986).
\item \textsuperscript{263} Alexander v. Choate, 469 U.S. 287, 302 (1985). The court expressly noted that it was not addressing federal Medicaid requirements. \textit{Id.} at 304 n.23.
\item \textsuperscript{264} Bartlett, \textit{supra} note 162, at 218 (discussing how a person has to have contributed sufficient funds to SSDI in order to qualify for Medicare).
\item \textsuperscript{265} \textit{Health Care Reform}, \textit{supra} note 6, at 128.
\end{itemize}
ially irrelevant to many PWAs who never live long enough to take advantage of it.\footnote{266} Although there has been support for eliminating this waiting period,\footnote{267} this change may be prohibited by cost considerations.\footnote{268}

The primary advantage of Medicare is that it reimburses at a higher level than Medicaid, thereby increasing the options of patients looking for doctors and hospitals.\footnote{269} However, Medicare’s emphasis on in-patient hospital care may exclude some treatments that are important to persons with HIV and AIDS. For instance, prescription drugs and long-term health care facilities are both left out of Medicare coverage.\footnote{270}

VI. Conclusion

From the basketball court to the courthouse, the AIDS epidemic has reached almost every facet of American life. In the context of access to health care, HIV-infection is in many respects no different than other catastrophic and chronic illnesses that have historically affected large population groups. On the other hand, HIV and AIDS present somewhat unique problems that pose special challenges, many of them legal, to any comprehensive plan for health reform: the demographic trends which portray a disease that is increasingly becoming one of the inner city; the discrimination associated with AIDS due to its means of transmission and the social stigma that has accompanied its growth; the efforts of the private insurance industry to limit coverage for AIDS-related treatment; and the resulting burden on public finance and programs that appear inadequate to help many persons with HIV.

Some of these issues have only recently emerged and are becoming the objects of research and scholarship. What is clear, however, is that no successful modification of health care access for Americans can occur without confronting the effect that the AIDS virus has on almost every aspect of the American health care system. At the same time, the concerns raised by the AIDS epidemic in the last decade have made apparent the need for thoughtful and

\footnote{266} See Clearinghouse Rev., supra note 14, at 725 (citations omitted).
\footnote{267} See, e.g., Confronting AIDS, supra note 186, at 280; Shacknai, supra note 31, at 197 (discussing how reduction in the Medicare waiting period would do away with the need of some Medicaid reforms).
\footnote{268} It has been estimated that the elimination of the two year delay for persons with AIDS would cost the federal government $2-8 billion over five years. Of course, persons with other disabilities would also demand a waiver, leading to even higher expense. Removal of the waiting period for all terminally ill Medicare participants is estimated at $6-15 billion. Bartlett, supra note 162, at 218-19 (citations omitted).
\footnote{269} Shacknai, supra note 31, at 197 (discussing the advantages of Medicare over Medicaid).
\footnote{270} Id. at 189; Health Care Reform, supra note 6, at 128 (discussing how the bulk of HIV treatment is delivered outside the hospital setting).
significant changes in the way health care is provided in the United States.